

April 4, 2022

Judge J. Campbell Barker United States District Court Eastern District of Texas 211 Ferguson Tyler, Texas 75702

By electronic filing

Re: Case 6:21-cv-00191, *Texas v. Brooks-LaSure* Supplemental letter brief on effect of CMS approval of programs (*see* ECF 101)

Dear Judge Barker:

Count 9 of the First Amended Complaint and Section III.a of the Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings should be dismissed and denied, respectively, as moot. Count 9 alleges that CMS unlawfully withheld and unreasonably delayed approval of the state-directed payment programs contemplated in the extension of Texas's demonstration project. See ECF 54 ¶¶ 177–187. CMS has now approved those programs, see ECF 100, meaning that Texas has received all of the relief available to it on that count. Section III.a of the Defendants' Rule 12(c) motion to dismiss, ECF 67, which is directed at the moot Count 9, is therefore itself moot.

CMS's approval of the programs does not constitute approval of the contracts or rate certifications under those programs. *See* ECF 100-1 at 1; 100-2 at 1; 100-3 at 1. It is possible that CMS could unlawfully withhold or unreasonably delay those approvals, but such a claim would not accrue until the future.

Please let us know if you require anything else.

Sincerely,

/s/ Leif A. Olson
Leif A. Olson
Special Counsel
leif.olson@oag.texas.gov
Counsel for Plaintiffs

cc by electronic filing: all counsel of record